

From: [Anneke Van der Weyde](#)
To: [DL - Rachel Blackwood & Assistant](#)
Subject: For discussion: ACMA INFORMATION REQUEST - Assessor Information for forwarding [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Wednesday, 14 February 2024 10:08:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

[Request for lists AMC assessors and AMC assessors removed from the role SECOFFICIAL.msg](#)

Hi Rachel,

We've received the list of AMC assessors removed from the role by the AMC. There is one person on that list, [REDACTED]

I'd appreciate a discussion on how we approach the AMC's cautionary advice when considering applications for accreditation, as this person has already reached out to the team seeking advice on how to apply – correspondence attached.

Applications don't open until Monday, so it can wait until our 1-1 if desired. Also happy to chat sooner if your diary can accommodate it.

Kind regards,
Anneke

Anneke Van der Weyde (*she/her*)
Manager, Spectrum Licensing Policy
Spectrum Allocations Branch | Communications Infrastructure Division

From: Dean Cook <d.cook@utas.edu.au>
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2024 6:39 AM
To: Anneke Van der Weyde <Anneke.VanderWeyde@acma.gov.au>
Cc: Sheree Campton <sheree.campton@utas.edu.au>; Sanjiv Bhatia <sanjiv.bhatia@utas.edu.au>
Subject: Fwd: ACMA INFORMATION REQUEST - Assessor Information for forwarding

CAUTION: This email is from an external sender. Do NOT click on links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and KNOW the content is safe. If you are in doubt, please contact the Corporate Service Desk.

Dear Anneke,

Apologies for the delay.

Referring to the attached email request for assessor information from (due by 31st January), the final list of Approved Assessors is attached.

In addition, the below details on removed assessors was also requested.

This information is only intended for ACMA use internally and is not to be relied on for any other matters.

Assessors Removed

<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. [REDACTED] was a level 3 Assessor.2. During Covid restrictions he wanted to use his private residence for assessments. Which was not permitted under university rules.3. He said he had better understanding of infection control as he was a doctor4. He had his private residence declared as a club premise5. He failed to indicate that he was going conduct the assessments at the registered club address so when the assessment papers were not sent to him he sent "strongly" worded emails that he always intended to conduct the assessment at the club venue6. He claimed to be victimised for daring to point out that AMC regulations were wrong.7. He lodged a formal complaint against OMC about question papers not being sent to him8. He refused to speak politely to OMC staff.9. He threatened to have a lawyer present in a zoom meeting to discuss his behaviour.10. He read in a newsletter that there may have been a breach of exam papers so lodged FOI request with UTAS so he could get more details11. Because of his aggressive behaviour and almost constant badgering emails he was removed from his position as an assessor12. 2024 pressuring the University to approach ACMA with a letter of support to be considered as an assessor. We are not supporting. WIP
-------------------	--

<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>
-------------------	-------------------

built.

University of Tasmania Electronic Communications Policy (December, 2014). This email is confidential, and is for the intended recipient only. Access, disclosure, copying, distribution, or reliance on any of it by anyone outside the intended recipient organisation is prohibited and may be a criminal offence. Please delete if obtained in error and email confirmation to the sender. The views expressed in this email are not necessarily the views of the University of Tasmania, unless clearly intended otherwise.