



Australian Communications and Media Authority

Formal Warning under subsection 129(2) of the *Telecommunications Act 1997*

TO: Business Service Brokers Pty Ltd (TeleChoice) (ACN 069 049 994)

OF: 199 Fitzroy Street St Kilda VIC 3182

I, Craig Riviere, delegate of the Australian Communications and Media Authority (the ACMA), being satisfied for the reasons explained in the ACMA's investigation report sent to Business Service Brokers Pty Ltd (TeleChoice) on 20 June 2024, that TeleChoice contravened subsection 128(1) of the Telecommunications Act 1997 (the Act) by failing to comply with paragraph 7(1)(a) of the Telecommunications (Financial Hardship) Industry Standard 2024 (the Financial Hardship Standard) as described below,

HEREBY issues TeleChoice a formal warning under subsection 129(2) of the Act for its failure to comply with paragraph 7(1)(a) of the Financial Hardship Standard.

Details of the contravention

Obligation

Paragraph 7(1)(a) of the Financial Hardship Standard provides that carriage service providers (CSPs) that offer to supply telecommunications products to consumers under a consumer contract must establish a payment assistance policy (Policy) that meets the minimum requirements in Part 2 of the Standard. These minimum requirements relate to the content to be included in the Policy and the accessibility and promotion of the Policy.

Under subsection 129(2) of the Act, the ACMA can issue a formal warning for contraventions of an industry standard registered under Part 6 of the Act.

Investigation findings

The Financial Hardship Standard is an industry standard registered under Part 6 of the Act which applies to participants in the telecommunications industry being CSPs that supply telecommunications products to residential, small business and not-for-profit customers.

As the supplier of internet and mobile telephone services to the public, TeleChoice is a carriage service provider for the purposes of the Act.

TeleChoice is a participant in the section of the telecommunications industry to which the Financial Hardship Standard applies and is required to comply with the Financial Hardship Standard under subsection 128(1) of the Act.

On 21 May 2024, the ACMA commenced an investigation into TeleChoice's compliance with paragraph 7(1)(a) of the Financial Hardship Standard.

On 27 May 2024, the ACMA made preliminary findings that TeleChoice failed to comply with paragraph 7(1)(a) of the Financial Hardship Standard on 22 May 2024 as it had not established a payment assistance policy that complied with the minimum requirements in Part 2 of the Financial Hardship Standard. On 7 June 2024, TeleChoice advised the ACMA that it had updated its Policy to address the matters raised in the ACMA's preliminary findings report.

TeleChoice did not contest the ACMA's preliminary findings in its response.

I am consequently satisfied that on 22 May 2024, TeleChoice did not comply with paragraph 7(1)(a) of the Financial Hardship Standard as it had not established a payment assistance policy that complies with the minimum requirements in Part 2 of the Financial Hardship Standard. As a consequence of failing to comply with the Financial Hardship Standard, I am also satisfied that TeleChoice contravened subsection 128(1) of the Act.

Dated this 2014day of June 2024

Craig Riviere

Executive Manager

Telecommunications Safeguards and Numbers Branch
Delegate of the Australian Communications and Media Authority