

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Urgent: Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Cth) requests [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Tuesday, 4 June 2024 8:45:00 AM

FYI

From: Reuben Kirkham <reuben@freespeechunion.au>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2024 8:43 AM
To: FOI <foi@esafety.gov.au>; Freedom of Information <FOI@acma.gov.au>
Subject: Urgent: Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Cth) requests

CAUTION: This email is from an external sender. Do NOT click on links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and KNOW the content is safe. If you are in doubt, please contact the Corporate Service Desk.

You don't often get email from reuben@freespeechunion.au. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email is from an external sender. Do NOT click on links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and KNOW the content is safe. If you are in doubt, please contact the Corporate Service Desk.

Dear Sir/Madam,

We have sent an *Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Cth)* (FOI) request on our own behalf to yourselves which has not been acknowledged with the 14 day limit via our no-reply email address. We also note that many other FOI requests have been sent via our 'no-reply' email address by other individuals and have similarly not been acknowledged. These failures are in breach of Section 15(5)(a) of the *Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Cth)*, which given a maximum (not a target) of 14 calendar days to respond, save that if the deadline falls on a Weekend or Public Holiday, compliance is required on the next non-Weekend or Holiday day after (see Section 36(2) of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901 (Cth)*). We therefore ask that these failures be immediately rectified.

Please note that the address and means for giving notices is via X (formerly known as Twitter), as per the original requests.

We ask that this email be immediately acknowledged and action be promptly taken to correct the failures highlighted above. A tweet from an official account acknowledging an FOI request is not difficult, onerous or unreasonable. Whilst we are aware the requests have been delivered to the published email inboxes (our software has confirmed this), this is not sufficient to comply with Section 15(5)(a) of the Act.

For completeness, Julie Inman Grant's public denial of monitoring individuals - including that she made before Parliament last week - does not a response to an FOI request either.

Best wishes,

Free Speech Union of Australia

Free Speech Union of Australia