

Consultation questions

1. Do you see any reason for not extending secondary user access to the 50–52 MHz band for Standard amateurs? If yes, what is your reason? **NO**
2. What are your views on the proposed policy on call sign transfer? **AGREE**
3. Will the proposed ‘regular check’ – to confirm whether a person is still using their call sign – be a sufficient method of ensuring there are enough call signs (in combination with other factors, for example, the high number of available call signs, deceased amateurs, most amateurs only wishing to hold one call sign)? **YES**
4. What are the benefits or disadvantages of our proposal not to limit the number of call signs that may be assigned to a person? **SOME WILL ACUMULATE CALLS**
5. Do you have any concerns with the other proposed call sign management arrangements? If so, what are they? **NO**
6. In the absence of amateur and station information being contained in the Register of Radiocommunications Licences, are there any amateur-operated registers or other existing voluntary registers that you would use? **YES**
7. Do you anticipate any difficulties operating your station in Conference of Postal and Telecommunications Administrations signatory countries? **NO**
8. What are your views on the proposal to allow Advanced amateurs to apply for assigned scientific licences for certain experimentation uses, such as reflecting signals from a celestial body as well as inter-continental ionospheric and trans-equatorial propagation experiments? **AGREE**
9. Noting the proposal mentioned in 8, are there other amateur experimentation uses that require higher power that you think should also be considered under assigned scientific licensing arrangements? **NO**
10. What are your views on the medium-term proposal to allow Advanced amateurs to apply for authorisation for other higher power use-cases under certain conditions? Please provide brief information to help us understand your view.

YES An application out lining suitability of location.

11. Is a 1kW power limit appropriate? Why or why not? If not, what alternative do you propose and why? **YES 1kW is very appropriate in some locations and during contest conditions when other contest station in other countries are using hi power.**
12. Are there particular bands that you consider should or should not be able to be accessed for Advanced amateur higher power operations? Which band(s) and why?

There should be no restrictions on Bands as this must be a considered against the location and purpose the station will be operating in.

13. What use-cases would require stations to operate at power limits for Advanced amateurs higher than the 400W currently permitted? **CONTESTING, TRANSEQUATORIAL RADIO PROPAGATION,**

14. For each use-case mentioned in 13, please briefly answer:

a. Why is a higher power limit needed?

Uneven playing field with overseas stations in contests.

Unstable radio conditions in Transequatorial path.

b. What are the specific limitations of the current power limit?

Uneven playing field with overseas stations in contests.

c. What power level is required? 1kW

d. What is the technical description of this power level requirements (for example, transmitter output power, emission mode)? **SSB 1kW PEP**

e. What amateur service frequency bands would be used? **ALL**

f. How often will a higher power level be required? **FOR THE TERM OF THE LICENCE**

g. What is the location of the station? **THIS MUST FORM PART OF THE SPECIAL LICENCE**

15. Should potential higher power authorisations be limited by location, position, event or something else? **YES COULD BE EVENT AND LOCATION**