## Australian Private Networks Investigation Report

### Findings

The ACMA finds that Australian Private Networks Pty Ltd ACN (103 009 552) (**APN**) contravened paragraph 7(1)(a) of the *Telecommunications (Consumer Complaints Handling) Industry Standard 2018* (the **Complaints Standard**) on 31 July 2018 by failing to establish a complaints handling process that includes the minimum requirements for consumer complaints handling.

The ACMA also finds that APN contravened subsection 128(1) of the *Telecommunications Act* 1997 (the **Act**) by failing to comply with an industry standard determined under subsection 125AA(1) of the Act on 31 July 2018.

#### Background

In a letter dated 31 August 2018, the ACMA advised APN that it was investigating APN's compliance with sections 8, 9 and 10 of the Complaints Standard (the **minimum requirements for consumer complaints handling**).

That same letter advised:

- that ACMA staff had assessed the complaints handling process that was available on APN's website on 31 July 2018 (the CHP); and
- the ACMA's preliminary findings regarding APN's compliance with the minimum requirements for consumer complaints handling.

APN provided a submission on 12 September 2018, in response to those preliminary views of ACMA staff (**response**).

APN did not dispute the preliminary findings in its response but did provide a revised CHP.

#### Reasons

The table below sets out the ACMA's final findings and the reasons for those findings. In making its final findings, the ACMA has considered the CHP and the response. The revised CHP is not the subject of these findings.

Provision	Requirement	ACMA finding and reasons
7(1)(a)	A carriage service provider, that offers to supply telecommunications products to consumers under a consumer contract must establish a complaints handling process that includes the minimum requirements for consumer complaints handling.	APN has contravened paragraph 7(1)(a) by failing to include the minimum requirements for consumer complaints handling in the CHP as set out below.
8(1)	A complaints handling process must:	
8(1)(b)	be made available to the public on the carriage service provider's website in a concise form that sets out the minimum requirements for complaints handling referred to in	The CHP did not set out all the matters referred to in paragraphs (d) to (m) and section 9 and 10, namely: - paragraph 8(1)(k); - paragraph 8(1)(m);

#### Compliance with the minimum requirements for consumer complaints handling

Provision	Requirement	ACMA finding and reasons	
	paragraphs (d) to (m), and sections 9 and 10;	<ul> <li>section 9;</li> <li>paragraph 10(b);</li> <li>paragraph 10(f); and</li> <li>paragraph 10(g).</li> </ul> Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN did not include the minimum requirements in paragraph 8(1)(b) of the Complaints Standard in the CHP.	
8(1)(k)	require members of its personnel to:		
8(1)(k)(i)	clarify with a consumer if they wish to make a complaint where the consumer has made contact and expressed dissatisfaction through one of the channels referred to in paragraph (h) or paragraph (i), and the member of the personnel is uncertain if the consumer wishes to make a complaint; and	The CHP did not include any information requiring personnel to clarify with a consumer whether they wish to make a complaint. Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN did not include the minimum requirements in paragraph 8(1)(k) of the Complaints Standard in the CHP.	
8(1)(m)	set out in sequence each potential step in the process for managing a complaint that was unable to be resolved on first contact, including the following steps:	The CHP did not set out in sequence the potential step in the process for managing a complaint unable to be resolved on first contact as required under subparagraph (8)(1)(m)(viii) as described below.	
8(1)(m)(viii)	closing a complaint;	The CHP did not include any information about closing a complaint. Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN did not include the minimum requirements in subparagraph 8(1)(m)(viii) of the Complaints Standard in the CHP.	
9	A complaints handling process must identify the relevant time periods associated with each step in the process, including the response times for managing a complaint set out in sections 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17.	<ul> <li>The CHP did not identify:</li> <li>the timeframes for the acknowledgement of complaints as required by section 12;</li> <li>the timeframe for the implementation of a resolution as required by section 13(j);</li> <li>the timeframes where there is any delay in the resolution of complaints as required by section 14;</li> </ul>	

Provision	Requirement	ACMA finding and reasons
		<ul> <li>the timeframes for advice regarding prioritisation, escalation and external dispute resolution as required by section 15;</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>information regarding the timeframes for advising of frivolous or vexatious complaints as required by section 16; and</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>the timeframes for attempting to contact a consumer where the CSP has been unable to contact the consumer to discuss the complaint as required by section 17.</li> </ul>
		Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN did not include the minimum requirements in section 9 of the Complaints Standard in the CHP.
10	A complaints handling process must:	
10(b)	include an internal process for escalating a consumer's complaint, which is clear, accessible and transparent for consumers;	The CHP did not include an internal process for escalating a consumer's complaint.
		Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN did not include the minimum requirements in paragraph 10(b) of the Complaints Standard in the CHP.
10(f)	provide that a consumer's telecommunications service cannot be cancelled for the sole reason that the consumer was unable to resolve the complaint directly with	The CHP did not state that a consumer's telecommunications service cannot be cancelled because a consumer was unable to resolve the complaint and pursued external dispute resolution.
	the carriage service provider and pursued options for external dispute resolution; and	Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN did not include the minimum requirements in paragraph 10(f) of the Complaints Standard in the CHP.
10(g)	include a process for classifying complaints into different categories, which clearly describes each category of complaint.	The CHP did not include a process for classifying complaints into different categories.
		Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN did not include the minimum requirements in paragraph 10(g) of the Complaints Standard in the CHP.

Provision	Requirement	ACMA finding and reasons
Subsection 128(1)	if an industry standard applies to participants in a particular section of the telecommunications industry and is registered under Part 6 of the Act, each participant in that section of the industry must comply with the standard.	<ul> <li>The Complaints Standard: <ul> <li>is an industry standard determined under subsection 125AA(1) of the Act and registered under Part 6 of the Act and</li> <li>applies to participants in the telecommunications industry including carriage service providers (CSPs).</li> </ul> </li> <li>APN is a CSP that supplies internet and landline services to the public. As a participant in the section of the telecommunications industry standard applies, APN is required to comply with the Complaints Standard under subsection 128(1) of the Act.</li> <li>APN's CHP did not include the minimum requirements for consumer complaints handling as described above.</li> <li>Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN</li> </ul>
		Therefore, the ACMA finds that APN contravened subsection 128(1) of the Act on 31 July 2018, by failing to comply with paragraph 7(1)(a) of the Complaints Standard

# Compliance with subsection 128(1) of the Act